

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

4

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/442,347	11/17/99	THOMPSON		Н	00216-468001
_		QM02/1103	\neg		EXAMINER
WILLIAM E BOOTH		0000271100		DEAL,	D
FISH & RICHARDSON PC				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
225 FRANKLIN STREET BOSTON MA 02110-2804				3754	
				DATE MAILED	
					11/03/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No. 09/442,347

Applicant(s)

Thompson et al.

Office Action Summary

Examiner David Deal

Group Art Unit 3754

■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Apr 27, 2000	·
☐ This action is FINAL .	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expir is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respanylication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).	ond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s)	
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review The drawing(s) filed on	by the Examiner. is approved disapproved. 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). priority documents have been
*Certified copies not received:	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s) ☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413 ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FO	OLLOWING PAGES

Application/Control Number: 09442,347

Art Unit:

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the 1.

claimed invention:

Species A: Figure 2

Species B: Figure 5

Species C: Figure 11.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for

prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held

to be allowable. Currently, at least claim 2 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the

species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon,

including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims

are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims

to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations

of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election,

applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09442,347

Art Unit:

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct,

Page 3

applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to

be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission

may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. A telephone call was made to William E. Booth on November 1, 2000 to request an oral

election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37

CFR 1.143).

3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the

fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to David Deal whose telephone number is (703) 308-2782.

D.D. 40

11-1-2000

November 1, 2000

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700